

Shri Amit Kumar Jain, S/o Shri Ajit Kumar Jain,
4490, Shakti Nagar,
Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Head Master,
Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Education Officer,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1777 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jai Kishan is present on behalf of Shri Amit Verma appellant.
Shri Suresh Kumar, S.S. Master, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda is present.

ORDER

Shri Amit Kumar Jain, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi, Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The representative of the appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him.

The respondent stated that the complete sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 1942 dated 30.07.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 03.08.2021, diarized vide no. 17013 which is a copy of letter no. 1942 dated 30.07.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.12.2020 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has sent information/reply to each and every point of the RTI application to the appellant.

I have heard both the parties. The SPIO has provided point-wise information/reply to the appellant, but the representative of the appellant is agitating that the information is incomplete. But when he was asked to bring to the notice of the Commission that which documentary information has not been provided to him, then he failed to disclose about that and made simple excuses that the information is incomplete, whereas he failed to bring to the notice of the Commission regarding any deficiency.

As the SPIO has provided the point-wise information/reply to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.08.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Varun Sharma, S/o Shri Pardeep Kumar,
2774, St. No.5, Shakti Nagar,
Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Head Master,
Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Education Officer,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1778 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jai Kishan is present on behalf of Shri Varun Sharma, appellant.
Shri Suresh Kumar, S.S. Master, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Varun Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 16.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi, Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The representative of the appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him.

The respondent stated that the complete sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 1939 dated 30.07.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 09.08.2021, diarized vide no. 17501, which is a copy of letter no. 1939 dated 30.07.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 16.12.2020 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has sent information/reply to each and every point of the RTI application to the appellant.

I have heard both the parties. The SPIO has provided point-wise information/reply to the appellant, but the representative of the appellant is agitating that the information is incomplete. But when he was asked to bring to the notice of the Commission that which documentary information has not been provided to him, then he failed to disclose about that and made simple excuses that the information is incomplete, whereas he failed to bring to the notice of the Commission regarding any deficiency.

As the SPIO has provided the point-wise information/reply to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 26.08.2021



Shri Manish Kumar Garg,
S/o Shri Kahan Chand Garg,
19311, St. No.6, Bibi Wala Road,
Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Head Master,
Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Education Officer,
Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1779 of 2021

Present:- Shri Madan Lal is present on behalf of Shri Manish Kumar, appellant.
Shri Suresh Kumar, S.S. Master, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi,
Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda is present.

ORDER

Shri Manish Kumar Garg, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Head Master, Govt. High School, Bandi, Tehsil Sangat, Distt. Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The representative of the appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him.

The respondent stated that the complete sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter no. 1941 dated 30.07.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 03.08.2021, diarized vide no. 17014, which is a copy of letter no. 1941 dated 30.07.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 15.12.2020 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has sent information/reply to each and every point of the RTI application to the appellant.

I have heard both the parties. The SPIO has provided point-wise information/reply to the appellant, but the representative of the appellant is agitating that the information is incomplete. But when he was asked to bring to the notice of the Commission that which documentary information has not been provided to him, then he failed to disclose about that and made simple excuses that the information is incomplete, whereas he failed to bring to the notice of the Commission regarding any deficiency.

As the SPIO has provided the point-wise information/reply to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 26.08.2021



Shri Jasbir Singh,
S/o Shri Harbans Singh,
R/o Village Jalal Khera,
P.O. Sular, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2127 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 30.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent SPIO is absent.

The appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO and he is satisfied.

So, as per the submission of the appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.08.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Jasbir Singh,
S/o Shri Harbans Singh,
R/o Village Jalal Khera,
P.O. Sular, Distt. Patiala.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Distt. Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2189 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 11.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Patiala. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.03.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 07.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas the respondent SPIO is absent.

The appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh stated that he has received the information sent by the SPIO and he is satisfied.

So, as per the submission of the appellant, Shri Jasbir Singh that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.08.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Ajit Singh,
S/o Shri Punjab Singh,
R/o Village Partapgarh,
Police Station Kumbh Kalan,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Block-2, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer,
Block-2, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2165 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ajit Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Jashandeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO,
O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Block-2, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Ajit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Dev. & Panchayat Officer, Block-2, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 12.01.2021 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 04.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the complete information as was sought by the appellant through his RTI application dated 07.12.2020 is ready to be provided to the appellant.

So, the appellant is directed to visit the office of the SPIO today itself and the respondent is directed to provide the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant and send compliance report to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 26.08.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Paramjit Singh,
S/o Shri Kuldip Singh,
47 D, Punjab Avenue,
Urban Estate-1, Jalandhar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Director (Training),
Excise & Taxation Department,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2015 of 2021

Present:- Shri Paramjit Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Arshdeep Singh, ETO, O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala is present.

ORDER

Shri Paramjit Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 28.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is present through CISCO Webex, whereas the respondent appeared telephonically.

The appellant stated that as was directed vide Order dated 24.08.2021, the respondent has not sent him the requisite information.

On the other hand Shri Arshdeep Singh, ETO stated telephonically that the information sought by the appellant is ready and assured the Commission that it will be sent to the appellant and to the Commission today itself.

So, as per the assurance of Shri Arshdeep Singh, ETO that the information sought by the appellant is ready and will be sent to the appellant and to the Commission today itself, the preset appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the direction to the respondent that the attested copy of sought for information be sent to the appellant today itself with a copy to the Commission.

Dated: 26.08.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**